

EXHIBIT A

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
1	A.P.	3:25-cv-07148	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Wagstaff Law Firm
2	Jane Doe LS 641	3:25-cv-07844	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Levin Simes
3	Jane Doe NLG (A.H.)	3:25-cv-07400	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Nachawati Law Group
4	Jane Doe NLG (D.L.)	3:25-cv-07478	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Nachawati Law Group
5	Jane Doe NLG (L.R.)	3:25-cv-07423	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Nachawati Law Group
6	Jane Doe NLG (S.M.)	3:25-cv-07543	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Nachawati Law Group

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
7	Jane Doe NLG (M.P.)	3:25-cv- 07312	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Nachawati Law Group
8	Jane Roe CL 195	3:25-cv- 06735	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Cutter Law
9	Jane Roe CL 198	3:25-cv- 06822	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Cutter Law
10	Jane Roe CL 203	3:25-cv- 07379	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Cutter Law
11	Jane Roe CL 205	3:25-cv- 07763	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Cutter Law
12	John Roe CL 8	3:25-cv- 07768	PFS belatedly filed, Uber withdraws its Motion to Dismiss as to this Plaintiff but reserves any potential arguments regarding prejudice and the sufficiency of Plaintiff's late submission.	Cutter Law
13	A.B.	3:25-cv- 07699	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
14	A.D.	3:25-cv-07091	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
15	A.E.	3:25-cv-07668	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
16	C.F.	3:25-cv-07698	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
17	D.V.	3:25-cv-07747	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
18	J.K.R.	3:25-cv-07734	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
19	K.H.	3:25-cv-07700	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
20	M.T.	3:25-cv-07831	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
21	M.W.	3:25-cv-07753	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
22	R.N. 02	3:25-cv-07097	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
23	S.W.	3:25-cv-07695	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Reich & Binstock
24	C.L.F. T.M.	3:25-cv-04281	Plaintiff failed to file Opposition, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Clarkson Law Firm

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
25	Jane Doe LS 619	3:25-cv-06243	Incarceration does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
26	Jane Doe LS 626	3:25-cv-06541	Incarceration does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
27	Jane Doe LS 618	3:25-cv-06160	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
28	Jane Doe LS 620	3:25-cv-06249	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
29	Jane Doe LS 621	3:25-cv-06297	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
30	Jane Doe LS 622	3:25-cv-06306	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
31	Jane Doe LS 625	3:25-cv-06539	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
32	Jane Doe LS 627	3:25-cv-06547	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
33	Jane Doe LS 628	3:25-cv-06552	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
34	Jane Doe LS 630	3:25-cv-06861	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
35	Jane Doe LS 633	3:25-cv-07285	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
36	Jane Doe LS 634	3:25-cv-07323	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
37	Jane Doe LS 640	3:25-cv-07628	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
38	Jane Doe LS 642	3:25-cv-07894	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
39	Jane Doe NLG (A.D.)	3:25-cv-07407	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
40	Jane Doe NLG (J.D.)	3:25-cv-07482	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
41	Jane Doe NLG (J.L.)	3:25-cv-07545	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
41	Jane Doe NLG (L.F.)	3:25-cv-07542	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
43	Jane Doe NLG (N.T.)	3:25-cv-07540	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
44	Jane Doe NLG (R.H.)	3:25-cv- 07403	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
45	Jane Doe NLG (T.P.)	3:25-cv- 07484	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
46	Jane Doe NLG (V.M.)	3:25-cv- 07467	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Nachawati Law Group
47	Jane Roe CL 192	3:25-cv- 06608	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Cutter Law
48	Jane Roe CL 201	3:25-cv- 06826	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Cutter Law
49	Jane Roe CL 202	3:25-cv- 07377	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Cutter Law

In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation,
Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

EXHIBIT A to Defendants' Reply in Support of
Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10

Summary of Uber's Requested Action on Cases Subject to Motion

	Plaintiff	Case No.	Uber's Requested Action	Law Firm
50	Jane Roe CL 204	3:25-cv-07472	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Cutter Law
51	John Doe LS 15	3:25-cv-07862	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes
52	S.S.	3:25-cv-07149	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Wagstaff Law Firm
53	T.K.	3:25-cv-06734	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Wagstaff Law Firm
54	Jane Doe LS 639	3:25-cv-07616	Law firm's inability to contact plaintiff does not excuse plaintiff's obligations to prosecute the case and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Motion to Dismiss should be granted.	Levin Simes